

Double Standards

C-MEC (Child Maintenance Enforcement Commission) are determined to make FATHERS pay, so much so that they plan to by bypass the legal system and if your a father then you pay up or they simply remove your driving licence or your passport and you have no recourse to the law. But what happens when you've been paying CSA for years and you find out that the child is not yours, proved beyond doubt by DNA testing. Your ex has deceived you and you have been duped into paying CSA for a child that's not yours? Well it seems that British law just doesn't want to know as far they are concerned, tough luck mate. Mark Webb went through an acrimonious divorce from Lydia Chapman after a DNA test showed her daughter Elspeth was fathered by another man, Allen Mottram. Mark Webb wants damages, as if to reimburse him for the costs of raising Elspeth for 17 years, but now his only option is the European Court of Human Rights as the chances of success under UK law are small. But Mark has been defrauded, hasn't he? The man who should be paying for Elspeth is Allen Mottram, under the law the Child Maintenance Enforcement Commission should be chasing him for maintenance shouldn't they? Or is it simply that the Child Maintenance Enforcement Commission C-MEC don't really care who pays, so long as they can find some duped sucker to foot the bill. Paternity fraud is rampant, so much so that some feel their should be compulsory DNA testing of children at birth. Approximately 19 per cent of claims made to the Child Support Agency by women, identify the wrong man as the father! How many go un noticed? It's not known what proportion of those claims are deliberately fraudulent as it's a crime to supply false information about paternity to the CSA, but no women have ever been prosecuted (even although we know 19% are fraudulent! - why). It is estimated that somewhere between one in seven to one in ten children are being raised by men who have no idea the offspring is not theirs. These are the men that will be hit with the C-MEC demands if the relationship fails. So why are the British courts trying to bury these cases when they come to court? The system wants to crush Mark Webb's right to justice in an attempt to stop these cases going to court. They can see the flood gates opening if this case is successful and sets a precedent in law so every effort is being made to stop him and discourage others in the hope that this problem will quietly go away. But you can do the Maths, possibly 19% of all CSA cases could potentially go to court and have a claim against CSA/C-MEC, and the Childs natural father. If the government via C-MEC want every child paid for then it should be just and fair, and when its found that their has been 'foul play' the courts should deal with it in a fair and just way. Men shouldn't be robbed by women looking for a cash cow or by the treasury looking to keep any ill gotten gains and by that I mean the Childs natural father could be a very low earner, say a nil assessment but the man charged by C-MEC could be a very high earner. These men who ever they are and what ever they earn don't deserve to be deceived and then be denied the rights of justice or some form of compensation. When this type of situation occurs it should be dealt with in a court and it should attribute blame and it should have financial repercussions. If the government who are now practicing social engineering on a grand scale want to wipe away the right to go to court and deny any defence against C-MEC's often extortionate amounts of maintenance then they really must accept these cases into court when it's found to have been in error even if C-MEC is not or was never involved!